## Additional File 1: EIDM Workshop Session Topic Areas, Aims, and Resources

Topic Area	Aims of Large	Aims of Small Group Sessions		Resources
_	<b>Group Sessions</b>	All Groups	<b>Dependent per Group</b>	
Introduction to EIDM	<ul> <li>The historical perspective is described</li> <li>The components of the Model for EIDM [1; 2] are described</li> <li>The steps of EIDM [2] are described</li> <li>"What is evidence?" is discussed</li> <li>Factors influencing EIDM are identified and discussed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Define evidence-informed decision making (EIDM).</li> <li>Describe the potential impact of EIDM in practice, program, and policy decisions.</li> <li>Describe the current limitations of EIDM.</li> <li>Identify facilitators and barriers to EIDM at individual, organizational, and policy levels.</li> </ul>		
Searching for the Best Evidence	<ul> <li>Format for framing questions is discussed</li> <li>The Hierarchy of Pre-Appraised Evidence [3] is presented</li> <li>The use of preappraised sources of evidence is explained and demonstrated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop answerable quantitative questions using the PICO [P = patient(s)/population; I = intervention; C = comparison, O = outcome(s)] and qualitative questions PS [P = patient(s)/population; S = situation] acronyms</li> <li>Identify databases and sources for locating the best available evidence, including pre-</li> </ul>		

sear evid expl	ch for the best lence are lained an lonstrated	d evidence and implement search s for accessing through major s and sources. evant questions using conents of PICO and		
Critical Appraisal •	advantag of differed designs  Different systemat analysis, Identify s quantitat designs  Understa critical ar relevant of: a) the studies, breviews/r practice s Understa importan such as or risks, nur and confi	es, and disadvantages ent quantitative study  itate between a ic review, a meta- and a meta-synthesis sources of bias in ive research study  and and apply the ppraisal criteria to quality assessment rapy/intervention b) systematic meta-analysis, and c) guidelines and and interpret to statistical concepts odds ratios, relative mbers needed to treat, idence intervals. The meaning and a of study results, go the size and precision	types of qualitative research study designs Distinguish between association and causation. Distinguish between clinical and health services interventions.	<ul> <li>Online Learning Modules</li> <li>National Collaborating         Centre for Methods         and Tools         (http://learning.nccmt.         ca/en/)</li> <li>Canadian Institute of         Health Research         (http://www.cihr-         irsc.gc.ca/e/39128.htm         l)</li> <li>Critical Appraisal Tools</li> <li>Compendium of         Critical Appraisal         Tools for Public         Health Practice [4]</li> </ul>

		of the treatment effect and understanding of figures produced for meta-analyses  • Discuss the process of adopting or adapting practice guidelines	
Knowledge Transfer & Dissemination	<ul> <li>Ways in which research evidence is used are identified</li> <li>Resources for EIDM to address organizational and individual barriers are identified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Apply study results to decisions about clinical practice questions</li> <li>Compare and contrast models for knowledge transfer and dissemination used by health care practitioners and policy makers.</li> <li>Identify effective implementation strategies to facilitate EIDM in for decisions in education, patient care, management, and health care/policy decisions</li> <li>Explore and critique advanced strategies to facilitate EIDM, such as practice guidelines and decision support tools</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Applicability and Transferability of Evidence Tool [5]</li> <li>From Research to Practice: A Knowledge Transfer Planning Guide [6]</li> <li>Registered Nurses Association Toolkit: Implementation of Clinical Practice Guidelines [7]</li> </ul>

## References

- 1. DiCenso A, Ciliska D, Guyatt G: **Introduction to evidence-based nursing**. In *Evidence-based nursing: A guide to clinical practice*. Edited by DiCenso A, Ciliska D, Guyatt G. S. Louis, MO: Elsevier Mosby; 2005: 3-1).
- 2. National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. **A Model for Evidence-Informed Decision-Making in Public Health**. 2012 http://www.nccmt.ca/pubs/FactSheet\_EIDM\_EN\_WEB.pdf
- 3. DiCenso A, Bayley: L, Haynes B: Accessing pre-appraised evidence: **Fine-tuning the 5S model into a 6S model**. *Evidence-Based Nursing* 2009, **12**:99-101.
- 4. Ciliska D, Thomas H, Buffet C: **A Compendium of Critical Appraisal Tools for Public Health Practice (Revised)**. 2012 http://www.nccmt.ca/pubs/CompendiumToolENG.pdf
- 5. Buffett C, Ciliska D, Thomas H: Can I use this evidence in my program decision? Assessing applicability and transferability of evidence. 2007 <a href="http://www.nccmt.ca/publications/9/view-eng.html">http://www.nccmt.ca/publications/9/view-eng.html</a>
- 6. Reardon R, Lavis J, Gibson J:**From Research to Practice: A Knowledge Transfer Planning Guide**. 2006 <a href="http://www.iwh.on.ca/system/files/at-work/kte\_planning\_guide\_2006b.pdf">http://www.iwh.on.ca/system/files/at-work/kte\_planning\_guide\_2006b.pdf</a>
- 7. Registered Nurses Association of Ontario: **Toolkit: Implementation of clinical practice guidelines**. 2002 http://www.rnao.org/Storage/12/668\_BPG\_Toolkit.pdf